UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

USIV	PONY
DOC	• 4
ELt	ALLY FILED
DOC:	
DATE	11111/1/22/10
L.,	San San Andrews (San San San San San San San San San San

IN RE LOWER MANHATTAN DISASTER SITE : ORDER GRANTING REQUEST LITIGATION

TO COMPEL DISCOVERY AND : ALLOW MOTIONS TO DISMISS

: 21 MC 102 (AKH)

ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.:

By letter dated December 17, 2010, Defendants' liaison counsel ask me to lift the current stay on all motions in this Master Calendar, to aid compliance with longstanding discovery obligations still unfulfilled by Plaintiffs' liaison counsel. Defendants' liaison counsel seek (i) permission to move to dismiss the complaints of Plaintiffs for whom "core" discovery as defined in Case Management Order No. 6 ("CMO No. 6) still has not been provided, and (ii) an order compelling Plaintiffs' liaison counsel to provide the discovery required by my Order of June 30, 2010. Plaintiffs' liaison counsel oppose the request, arguing that the jurisdiction of this Court should be tested before requiring compliance with these obligations and also noting that they are presently working to fulfill other obligations in this Master Calendar.

Plaintiffs' liaison counsel have failed to comply with two basic discovery orders. First, in CMO No. 6, I directed all Plaintiffs to provide, by October 31, 2008, basic medical information relevant to the claim of injuries suffered. See Case Mgmt. Order No. 6, Doc. No. 2376, 21 MC 102 (S.D.N.Y. May 6, 2008). Second, in my Order of June 30, 2010, I directed all Plaintiffs to produce a broader array of discovery, including "the estimated hours and/or days that each individual plaintiff worked at each building location." Amended Order, Doc. No. 3812, 21 MC 102 (S.D.N.Y. July 1, 2010). As of today, December 22, 2010, Plaintiffs' liaison counsel have failed to provide the information required by CMO No. 6 for thirty-seven of their

clients, and have failed entirely to comply with my order of June 30, 2010. This delay is

inexcusable.

Plaintiffs' liaison counsel have impeded, and continue to impede, the settlement

efforts of every other party to these lawsuits, for the basic data that Plaintiffs' liaison counsel

choose not to produce prevents a proper evaluation of the entire set of cases. Plaintiffs' liaison

counsel have also impeded trial preparations, for the withheld information is critical to these

cases regardless of the forum. Therefore, by January 14, 2011, Plaintiffs' liaison counsel shall

satisfy all outstanding discovery obligations, including those required by CMO No. 6 and my

Order of June 30, 2010. If Plaintiffs' liaison counsel fail to satisfy their obligations by this date,

Defendants' liaison counsel may move to dismiss the complaints of any Plaintiff for whom the

appropriate discovery has not been produced. If the circumstances warrant it, I will also consider

whether the current Plaintiffs' liaison counsel remain an appropriate choice for that function.

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

December $\frac{22}{2010}$ New York, New York

United States District Judge

2